

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references

Later references.

LB 104-2681-2

1919-20

(LB)

File No. 97-19

Serial Nos. 1+2

for

10 X 4

Preserved

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1+2

Part B for July 1920

SUBJECT.

Levy of local cess on items of
Revenue directly credited to the
District Funds.

(1) From the President, Dist Board, Mysore.
C.2830 9/16-1-20

Soliciting instructions on the levy of local
cess on the sale of Manure as the same objected to by the
Audit Department.

(2) To the President, Dist Bd Mysore
" D.C. & other Dist
" Amphibious to go to

WD 288-GPB-5,000-1149 88-96 D. 3-7-20

Directs that in future no local
cess shall be levied on the
several items of income credit to
Dist Boards.

Mr. A. S. Rahman
33/8/20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Lh)

NOTES.

File No. 97-69

FILE HEADING.

Levy of local cess on items of revenue
directly credited to St. Fund

From

The Presdt, St. Board, Mysore

No. C.2830

Dated

16.1.20

Received 9.1.20

Diary No. 5250

Enclos.

Spare Copies.

Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that objection has been taken by
the Audit Dept to the levy of local cess on
sale proceeds of manure (2), tolls levied on
cattle carts (3) market collections & requests under
in the matter.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Of the three items referred to by
the Dy Commr on which local cess is
levied, only one viz levy of cess on
tolls is authorized by Govt (vide

E G.O. No R 593-608, R 766-949 29-7-02)

Serial
No.

But in the case of the other two items viz (1) Sale proceeds of manure & (2) market collections there is no Govt order authorizing the levy of local cess on them.

2. Govt have also directed that the income derived from tolls on St. Funds Roads which was formerly appropriated by the State as an item of State revenue should be handed over to the St. Funds and from 1895 the local cess levied thereon was also assigned entirely to them (vide Govt. No. 2524-S Z285-94 dated 25th August 1895). This order has not been sent out to the Auditor.

3. Submitted for orders..

27/1/20
27/1/20

The point raised is one of some importance i.e. whether local cess can be levied on items of collection directly credited to St. Funds proper.

This must be held to be superseded by the later order dat 29 July 1902
Hm

c

L.B. File No. 97 of 1919.

5250. 3
(3)Serial
No.

The levy of cess on sale proceeds of manure and market collections appear to be unauthorized. Vide GO dated 29 July 1902 Page E. Regarding the cess on tolls, this Govt. order appears to authorize the levy as the tolls mentioned in paras 5 of the order include those levied at D^o F^o as well as provincial roads.

✓ Per Secy.

H.M.

2-2-20

1. Local cess does not seem justifiable in the case of those items of revenue which are credited to the District Funds direct as D^o Fund Revenue. In this view, the cess on sale of manure, market collections & tolls on D^o F^o Roads should be discontinued at once. A general order to this effect may issue.

2nd member)
5 Jaffer. P.M. what is.

H.M.
3.2.20
H.M.

3900
3.2.20

Serial
No.

his meaning of clause xi of Sec 23
L.B.V.R. cannot be being
justified under that clause.

1/11/20

7/2/20

Please put in copy of
the Regn 1/11/20
10-2

Submitted. May 8

1/11/20

15425

6. The Legislative Section of the
Chf. Sect may be asked to put
up the file connected with the
Regulation or to furnish the
the meaning of the cl. called
for by the member

1/11/20
10/2/20

7. The copy of the Regn on tolls on
the roads can it seem to me
be justified under cl 1(ii) of Sec 23
L.B. Regn as the G.O. dat 29 July 02 author
it. The question now it is apprehended
is one of principle. Perhaps the
Commission on Sec 23 may shed some
light on this point. The Legis Branch
may be consulted.

Rev. Secy)

At night 1/11/20

9. To the Legis Branch for a note

1/11/20

RECEIVED
23 FEB 1920

Serial
No.

10 Subd. with Regs file 67-16
or a copy of the Regs. There is
no discussion in the file on
S. 23. It is for consideration
whether the cess in question
may be justified under clause
under clause (1) (xi) of S. 23
where there is no provision
in the Regs. to levy the cess.

86/12/10
11 Clause (1) (xi) of S. 23
does not seem to authorise
the levy of the cess.

✓ Rev. Secy)

To M.
12/10

12 Submitted with reference
to para 5 supra.

13/3 Rev. Secy.

(per)
19.5

13. I am of the same opinion
as already noted at para 4, above.

2nd member)

27.0.

10.3.20
19.5

Serial
No.

6088-141

20-3-20.

14. I agree with the Secy. I do not think the levy is regular & that it can be brought under the terms of Sec 23 1 xi of the L.B. & T. Regs.

File
22.3.20. W

15. Put up draft for indicated in Recd.

File
23.3.20

16. Submitted with draft for approval.

File
24/3

✓ Rev. Secy -

File
26.3

17. If the opinion may also be taken before the issue of orders.
26.3.20

2367-9

✓ (Rev. Secy)

18. I have no remarks to make.

File
3/4/20

(Reverse)

19. Subd. May the draft already submitted be the levy issue?

File
5.4.20

19

✓ (Rev. Secy)

File
5.4.20

Serial
No.

(90) The draft order will, if added,
issue -

2nd member)

(W) Don't approve

Recd

10.4.20

hm

hm

(92) For orders of the draft man issue

(Rev. Secy)

hm 12.4

(93) For perusal before issue, as
the order is of general application
and involves a point of principle

(Dewan)

hm
12.4.20

(94) Yes. may be initiated
then members also of it fall
under C. D. of the Council.

Recd
14.4.20

Subd to 1st
& 3rd members)

hm
15.4.20

(95) 2nd till the opinion of the Govt
kdr. in the for

(96) Counsel

17.4.20

6444-154
8-4-1920.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.

Recd. on APR 12 1920

Reg. No. 7046

Serial
No.

(21) Forwarded unofficially to the
govt- advocate for favourable
opinion.

By order,

H. Ramaswami

In Secy to Govt
10/2/1920

294-3.

Rev. Secy to Govt

29

1920

14

U. G. No. 90 19-20

(28) The Main Scheme of the Local Boards Reg.
of 1902 was not to give power under the
Reg. itself to D. Bds to impose or levy
any local rates or taxes themselves. But
certain items of revenue imposed by
other laws, or rules having the force
of law, were made over to D. Bds
to meet their expenses, & such funds
constituted the finances of the D. Bds.
(See Sec 20 of Reg. II of 1902).

[In this respect the Scheme of the
Municipal Reg. is different. Cf. Sec
59 of the Mun. Reg. VII of 1906 which
empowers Municipal Councils to impose
taxes & cesses].

(29) By orders of Govt. passed from time to
time, the levy of certain fees & tolls &
some other items ref. to in Sec 20 of Reg.

LB. File No. 97 of 1919

Serial
No.

II of 1902 was implicitly vested in D^r B^r subject to ~~rest~~ restrictions & conditions imposed by Govt.

(30) To the list of Collections thus implicitly entrusted to D^r B^r. Other items of income were from time to time added - e.g.

(a) Certain items of License
Fees & tolls

Under Subsec 5 & 6
of Sec 20(1) read with
Sec 31 A(1)(b) & (2)
by Reg. X of 1911

(b) Railway Cess

Under Subsec I(a)
of Sec 20(1) by
Reg. V of 1914

By Sec 24 of the Consolidation Regⁿ of 1918 the D^r B^r is given subject to restrictions & conditions imposed by Govt. Express authority to levy Railway Cess & another Cess for guaranteeing loans, and the other Collections, whether levied by the D^r B^r under the implied power given to under Sec 23 read with Sec 38 of the Regⁿ of 1918, or by other agencies of Govt, continued to be items of revenue credited to the D^r Funds.

(31) If therefore there is any Cess which the D^r B^r is not authorised to levy under the D. B. Regⁿ. Either expressly

LB File No. 97 of 1919

Serial
No.

under Sec 24 or, impliedly under Sec 23, the authority for the levy of it must be looked for outside the Regⁿ. And if by other laws or rules having the force of law Govt. can & does impose other kinds of tax independently of the L. Bd. Regⁿ, that power is left uncontrolled by the provisions of the Regⁿ -

(35) The order of Govt. passed in the year 1902 has the force of law, because it was passed before the Mysore Leg^{isl} Regⁿ I of 1907 came into operation and at a time when Govt. promulgated such rules either in the form of Executive orders or in the form of Regulations. Under that order Cess on Tolls was continued but the Cess levied on the Sale-proceeds of manure was abolished. The levy of the latter Cess since then is unauthorised but not the former. The levy of Cess on Market Collection is also unauthorised as there is no law or order having the force of law by which such a levy could be supported -

LB

File No.

97

of 191

9

Serial
No.

(233) From the order of Govt. proposed to be now issued I find that the levy of Cess on the 3 items in question is considered unauthorised on the ground that those items of income are directly credited to the D^r Funds. If it is to be understood by the expression 'directly credited' that the D^r Add themselves levy these items as part of their revenues, the order may perhaps require reconsideration, as for example in the case of tolls according to the practice prevailing for many years past they are collected by the Contractor to whom the right to collect is sold & the Cess on the total sale amount is levied from the Contractor irrespective of the amount of tolls actually collected, at the toll gates. [See para 6 of the G.O. of 1902 in which it is distinctly stated that in addition to the Contract amount, the Contractor should pay also the Cess on the said amount]. Similarly in the case of the other two items it is I presume the right to 'collect' that is sold & the

AB

File No.

97

of 191

9

Serial
No.

Cess is levied from the Contractor to whom the right is sold. But as the Collection of the Cess on these two items is not supported by any rules or orders having the force of law they may be discontinued.

(24) If it is permissible for me to state I would omit in the proposed G.O. the words "the levy is unauthorised" as such an admission may possibly make the agency, that unauthorisedly levied it liable in action to refund such amount as may not be barred by time. Further it is undesirable that publicity should be given openly to the fact that an unauthorised levy was all these years countenanced by the authorities.

SD

27.4.20

(35) Submitted into reference to
Hans 25 & 26 Supra

Sna

29/4/20

(36) First Member

Supra letter dated 29.4.20
being revised & above
recommended

Wm

4.5.20

7.5.20

Serial
No.6307
10/5/20 ✓ 3rd member)hm
7.5.20(37) 1st copy. But my query (under para 5) has not been answered.hm
14/5✓ 2nd member)hm
14.5.207053-261
15.5.1920.

(38) The draft may be revised on the lines indicated by the first order.

hm
16.5.20note draft (39) The lines in which the draft may be revised are indicated in ~~the~~ a separate slip of paper submitted for approval ^{on the order}paa
18/5/20 40 Revised draft put up.
hm
Rev Secy 21-5-202nd member)hm
2.6.20

7335-60

4-6-20
NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(41) Draft approved

hm
6.6.20

Serial
No.

(42)

A D.F.N. and D.S.N. are necessary.
Vide orders at para 25.

(43)

Two drafts (D.F.N. + D.S.N.) are submitted for
approval.J Rev Secy
15/6/20J 2nd member
(L Dewan)

S.D.A. approved

Recd
19.6.20

m

B

DEWAN'S OFFICE.

Recd. on JUN 22 1920

Reg. No. 8320

7601-65.

18.6.20.

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:—

Discontinuance of the levy of local cess by the District Boards on certain items directly credited to the District Funds.

These papers relate to the question whether it is legal to levy local cess on items of revenue directly credited to District Funds.

F 2. In Government Order, No. 2524-5/L.F.185-94 dated 21st August 1895,
it was ordered that the local cess levied on tolls made over to the
District Boards be credited to the District Funds, and, on the authority
E of Government Order, No. R. 593-68/R.E.66-94 dated 29th July 1902, the
District Board of Mysore has been levying local cess on (1) toll le-
vied at District Fund toll gates (2) sale proceeds of manure and
D (3) market collections. The President of the said District Board
brought to the notice of Government that, during the audit of the Dis-
trict Fund Accounts for the year 1917-18, the levy of the local cess
on the aforesaid items was objected to by the Audit Department, and
requested definite orders in the matter.

3. As there is no provision in the Local Boards Regulation, and the
rules framed thereunder, authorising the levy by the District Board of
the local cess on these items, which are directly credited to the
District Funds, the question as to whether the levy may be disconti-
nued was referred to the Members of Council for opinion. The Go-
vernment Advocate, who was also consulted as to the legality of the
levy, is of opinion that it is unauthorised.

Pages 8 to 12
Office Notes

Pages 12 & 13 4. I agree, along with my colleagues, with the view of the Govern-
ment Advocate. The draft Government Order which has accordingly
been prepared may be graciously approved.

Draft for
approval

19th June 1920.

P. Raghaviah
MEMBER OF COUNCIL 19.6.20.

16

Submitted to His Highness.

...

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second Member
of Council on the subject of the discontinuance of the
levy of local cess by the District Boards on certain items
directly credited to the District Funds, for His Highness'
gracious approval.

W. S. S. S. S. S.

23rd June
1920

ks

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders
of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.



Discontinuance of the levy of
local cess by the District Boards on cer-
tain items of revenue directly credited to
the District Funds.

1137

Order.

KRM

26/6/20

Rev. Secy
Secy

27-6-20

2.7

Jone

hm
28.6.20
[Signature]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

LB File No. 97 of 1919

Serial
No.

2

To

The President, Dist Board, Mysore
The D.C.S. & other Dts.
The Comptroller to Govt.
No 88-96 dated 3.7.20.
Directs that in future no
local cess shall be
levied on the several items
of income credited to St Bandy,

Office of the President, District Board,
Mysore District,

Dated, Mysore, the 16th January 1920.

No.

From

P. F. Bowring, Esquire,

President, District Board of Mysore,

M y s o r e.

To

B. Ramaswamayya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of H. H.

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,

B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

In enclosing a copy of the objection statement relating to the --
audit of the District Fund Accounts for the 1917-18, I have the honour to
state that objection has been taken to the levy of local cess on (1) the
sale proceeds of manure (2) the toll levied at District Fund Toll-gates -
and (3) market collections as these items of income are directly credited
to District Funds. The Local Boards Regulation and the Rules framed ----
thereunder do not however contain any provision authorising the District
Board to levy local cess on the ^{above} ~~above~~ items. The system has been in vogue
from along time inasmuch as the levy of toll on toll contract etc., has
been generally authorised in Government Order No.R.597-608/R.F.66-94 dated
29th July 1902 and subsequent Government order No.R.4598-614/R.F.66-94 --
dated 16th June 1903. It is doubted by the audit Department whether the
District Board has got the power of levying Local Cess on items of reve-
nue which are directly credited to District Funds. I have the honour to
request that orders of Government may be obtained in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

P R E S I D E N T,

District Board of Mysore.

18283

From

The President,

District Board of Mysore,

M y s o r e.

To

The Secretary to the Government of

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Dated 16th January 1920.

Despatched 16th January 1920.

Received.

Ch. Secy

General No. 2880 *dB*
18-19

Mr. [unclear]

Enclosure No.

S u b j e c t.

*Soliciting instructions re the levy
of local cess on the sale of bamboo etc.
as the same are objected to by the Audit
Department.*

TII

Mr. P. A. S.

3

Objection statement on the examination of the Mysore District Fund
Accounts for the year 1917-18.

S.F. No.	Description of item.	Amount placed under objection.	Remarks of the Auditor.
-------------	----------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------------------

Local Cess at one anna in the
rupee is levied in this District
on Toll contract amount and also
in a few cases of sale proceeds
of manure both of which are credited
to District Funds direct as District
Fund Revenue.

The District Fund is formed of
76% of Cess on land revenue and --
other items which may from time to

time be granted by Government, be-
sides the collections from Tolls and
markets which are directly credited
to District Funds as District Fund
Revenue.

The very fact that the District
Fund is formed mainly of 76% of Lo-
cal Cess on certain items of General
revenue implies that no cess is levi-
able on other items of collections,
such as Tolls and markets collected as
District Fund Revenue and credited to
District Funds direct.

Moreover the items on which cess is
leviable are defined in the G.O.No.R.
593-608/R.F.66-94 dated 27th July 1902
wherein no mention is made of items of
District Fund collections on which cess

Whether Cess can be
levied legally as at present
on Tolls and manure is not
free from doubt. It seems
therefore necessary that de-
finite orders of Government
should be obtained in the
matter.

E

No.	Description of item	Amount placed under objection.	Remarks of the auditor.
-----	---------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------

can be levied as in the case
of Land Revenue.

" C O P Y "

J. H. Balakrishnan
For President,
District Board of Mysore.

LB 97-19-2

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore.

Read

G. O. No. 2524-5/L. F. 185-94 dated
21st August 1895 directing that the local
cess on tolls made over to the District
Local Funds should be credited to the
District Local Funds.

2. G. O. No. R. 593-608/R. B. 66-94
dated the 29th July 1902 directing the levy
of local cess on toll contract and other
miscellaneous items of income.

3. Letter No. C. 2830/L. B. 18-19
dated 16th January 1920 from the President
District Board, Mysore, enquiring whether
local cess can be levied on (1) the sale
proceeds of manure, (2) tolls levied at
District Fund toll gates and (3) the
market collections, in consideration of
the fact that these items of income are
directly credited to the District Funds.

(Print in extenso with accompaniments).

Order No. R. 88-96

Dated, Bangalore the 3-7-20.

As the items of income specified in
the letter read above are ^{directly} credited
to the District Funds, Govt ^{are pleased to order} that in
future ^{no} local cess ^{shall} not be levied on
them.

For Secy to Govt.

Revenue Department.

To-The President, District Board, Mysore
Deputy Comms & Presidents of D. Bs.
The Comptroller to Government.

For Secy to Govt.
copy to Rev. Secy

P.O.

12 copies

7

E

- May this be
- i Printed? *yes*
 - treated as a standing order? *yes*
 - published in the Gazette,
English Part? *yes*
 - translated? *yes*
 - v placed at the disposal of the press? *yes*
 - vi published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part? *yes*

25/6/20

Recd
6.6.20

102-
2.6.20